


PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G01R 31/36, H02J 3/06		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/58270 (43) International Publication Date: 23 December 1998 (23.12.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB98/01634 (22) International Filing Date: 19 June 1998 (19.06.98)		(81) Designated States: AU, CA, CN, JP, KR, MX, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(30) Priority Data: 9712888.8 19 June 1997 (19.06.97) GB 9723233.4 4 November 1997 (04.11.97) GB		Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): SUN ELECTRIC UK LIMITED [GB/GB]; Unit 12, Horsleys Fields, King's Lynn, Norfolk PE30 5DD (GB). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): JONES, Barbara, Lynn [GB/GB]; Sun Electric UK Limited, Unit 12, Horsleys Fields, King's Lynn, Norfolk PE30 5DD (GB). SMITH, Paul [GB/GB]; Sun Electric UK Limited, Unit 12, Horsleys Fields, King's Lynn, Norfolk PE30 5DD (GB). CLIFTON, Jason, Mark [GB/GB]; Sun Electric UK Limited, Unit 12, Horsleys Fields, King's Lynn, Norfolk PE30 5DD (GB). (74) Agent: ARCHER, Philip, Bruce; Urquhart-Dykes & Lord, New Priestgate House, 57 Priestgate, Peterborough, Cambs PE1 1JX (GB).			

(54) Title: BATTERY TESTING AND CLASSIFICATION



(57) Abstract

Method and apparatus for battery evaluation and classification applies transient microcharge and/or microload pulses to an automotive battery. Classification is made on the basis of analysis of the resultant voltage profile or portions or dimensions thereof. In one embodiment the analysis utilises a neural network or algorithm to assess a microcycle sequence of microload/microcharge tests utilising one of a series of battery parameters including impedance as well as voltage characteristics to effect classification. Another embodiment adopts an optimised (not maximum) level of prior test-based data-training for a self-organising neural network. A third embodiment utilises prior test data correlation to enable algorithm-based classification without use of a neural network.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

BATTERY TESTING AND CLASSIFICATION

This invention relates to a method and apparatus for testing and classification of automotive and other batteries.

5 In the automotive industry and likewise in other technical areas, there is a need for improvements in systems for battery testing. We have provided an advance in the art in terms of the testing system disclosed in EP 10 0 762 135 A2 (case 12 reference P52759EP) which discloses 10 a method and apparatus for testing automotive electronic control units and batteries utilising neural networks to effect waveform analysis on a digitised signal. Battery testing is by waveform analysis of the battery current 15 during transient connection of a load. A network learning stage is employed together with a recognition test routine for characteristic waveforms. This approach is based upon software simulation of the waveform analysis which may be carried out visually by a person skilled in the art to 20 distinguish between the current or voltage profiles of various categories of batteries.

References identified in searches made with respect to the subject matter of the present application consist of the following:

25 US 4204153
US 5469528
US 5537327
WO 96/35522
WO 96/05508
30 GB 2285317A
GB 2278452A

None of these is any more pertinent than WO 96/35522 which

discloses the use of a capacitor discharge pulse as a battery test step to enable identification of battery type by reference to voltage gradient using a voltmeter or oscilloscope or digital signal processing device whereby 5 the battery type may be recognized prior to sorting discarded batteries for recycling purposes.

FIRST ASPECT

In a first aspect of the present invention we are 10 seeking to provide a further technical advance in battery test systems whereby the approach of simulating the visual analysis carried out by a technical person is replaced by an alternative approach in which the technical attributes of computer systems are employed in a manner which 15 exploits their inherent advantages rather than by seeking to constrain them to simulate a human analytical approach.

Accordingly, we have sought to utilise the capacity 20 of software systems to process and analyse data relating to multiple parameters, in a way which the human brain finds difficult. In accordance with this approach, we realised that the multi-layer perceptron neural networks 25 of our above-identified EP '135 A2 specification had limitations in terms of their requirement for the input of an approximation to the required analytical answer in any case.

In accordance with this new approach to battery analysis, we sought to provide a system in which multiple battery parameters, not all of them necessarily being electric parameters, such as thermal parameters, would be 30 fed into the system and the system would be capable of recognising particular characteristics of the complex data fed in and thereby to effect an efficient classification step, perhaps on the basis of relatively limited data as

-3-

compared with that required for waveform analysis.

An object of this aspect of the present invention is to provide a method and apparatus for classification of automotive and other batteries in accordance with one or more sensed parameters thereof, offering improvements 5 in relation to one or more of the matters discussed above, or generally.

According to the invention there is provided a method of making battery test apparatus and there is also 10 provided apparatus for classification of automotive and other batteries, as defined in the accompanying claims.

In an embodiment of the invention the system is provided with a neural network in the form of a self-organising network, namely a Kohonen network. In the embodiment, this software network has undergone (or is 15 based upon software which has undergone) a controlled degree of training. That training is based on a data input comprising a representative sample of battery data. Such a representative sample of battery data comprises a plurality of battery parameters selected from the group 20 including voltage, current, internal resistance, surface charge/capacitance, and thermal parameters. This controlled degree of self-training provides a basis for the classification step required from the self-organising network. 25

In the embodiment also, the method and apparatus provides test data generation means as part of the system and which is adapted to subject a given battery on test to test routines to generate test data for the 30 classification means. This test data is related to the representative sample battery data on which the software network was trained. For example, the software network may be trained on data from a sequence of transient

-4-

5 battery loads or transient battery charging routines, these being separated likewise by relatively transient intervals. Where such a routine has been included in the included in the battery test apparatus is arranged to generate corresponding data which leads to relatively efficient battery classification.

10 In connection with the use of multiple transient battery loads in the battery test routine, this approach has practical significance in relation to the capacitance aspects of battery construction, namely that the sequence of multiple transient loads is capable of discharging the surface charge of the battery which is present due to the parallel plate construction of the latter and which can 15 otherwise provide misleading data arising from the (for example) 15 volts potential difference theron arising from alternator ripple.

20 The embodiments of the invention are software-intensive rather than hardware-intensive when compared with previously known battery testing systems.

SECOND ASPECT

25 In accordance with a second aspect of the invention, there is a need in the automotive and other industries for improved methods and apparatus for the testing and classification of batteries, notably the provision of systems which permit the in-situ testing of batteries using a minimum of hardware and making low power requirements while offering hand-held operational 30 characteristics and relatively rapid test/classification results, and an object of this aspect of the present invention is to provide a method and apparatus offering improvements in relation to one or more of these requirements, or indeed generally.

According to the invention there is provided a method and apparatus for the testing and/or classification of automotive and other batteries as defined in the 5 accompanying claims.

In an embodiment of the invention described below there is provided a method and apparatus in which a battery is subjected to a microcycle test procedure and 10 the result of that procedure is subjected to analysis by a neural network or by an adaptive algorithm, and by means of this new approach to the testing of automotive and other batteries, we have established that it is possible to test and classify batteries in accordance with their 15 life and other criteria in a manner which yields advantages in accordance with several of the factors discussed above.

In the embodiment, the data analysis step is 20 performed by means of an adaptive algorithm or by a neural network, and the battery test procedure includes the application of transient stimuli as part of a microcycle. The hardware provided for this purpose is minimised by 25 this design approach and the test connection to the battery allows testing in-situ without violating automotive radio code systems nor electronic control unit software and the like.

The battery test routine, including the microcycle, 30 provides for the application of a series of transient stimuli to the battery in the manner shown in the accompanying drawings, for example :-

Voltage test;
Microload;
35 Surface charge removal;
Microload;
Recovery;

- 6 -

Microload;
Microcharge.

Within a microcycle of the above kind there may be
5 a series of positive or negative pulses applied to the
battery, such being implemented by a field effect
transistor (FET) gate.

By the use of a microcycle of transient
10 charges and/or loads applied to a battery in sequence in
accordance with this aspect of the invention there is
provided the advantage (with respect to our above-
mentioned EP '135A specification) that the practicality
and cost-effectiveness of the system, particularly for use
15 in a hand-held application, is unexpectedly advanced to
an extent such that a relatively sophisticated analysis
of a battery into the relevant one of a multiplicity of
categories by use of an easily-operated hand-held item of
equipment becomes a realistic option to an extent which
is no hitherto the case.

20 The number of pulses provided in a microcycle is
greater than one and generally anywhere in the range from
2 pulses to 100 or more. The voltages in the sequential
pulses may be increasing or decreasing or equal. The
number and frequency and voltage levels of the pulses may
25 be determined by an initial voltage test applied to the
battery, and/or ambient temperature and/or battery size,
and then the pulse characteristics may be determined by
data values obtained from subsequent pulses. In other
words, the algorithm determining pulse numbers, frequency
30 and levels is adaptive. Alternatively, prior knowledge
relating to the battery can be used, at least in part, to
set certain parameters of the pulse characteristics, these
depending on such data as battery history, known behaviour
etc.

-7-

In the embodiments of the invention in which the method and apparatus provides for battery testing and/or classification by use of a microcycle comprising two or more transient charges and/or loads applied to a battery in sequence, the use of such a testing routine is, to the best of the Applicant's knowledge, novel in relation to test procedures for automotive and like or other battery systems. Conventional test procedures have hitherto involved procedures which may be termed microcycles which necessarily (and often by intention) involve the use of substantial charge flows and associated energy release, with corresponding associated technical shortcomings including provision of heat sink or similar means, and utility limitations restricting testing to non in-situ battery evaluation.

The described embodiments employ an analysis step or stage for battery data generated from the microcycle, and the embodiments employ a neural network or an adaptive algorithm to enable classification or numerical evaluation of a battery. The use of a neural network leads to the data processing advantages set forth in our prior application of 19 June 1997.

In the embodiments, Figs 11 and 12 of the drawings show battery responses to microload and microcharge cycles for both "good" and "bad" batteries.

Data employed in the analysis step of the invention is employed directly from the microcycle routine. Data may be in wave form format or in discreet datapoints format, and may represent relative or absolute values of the sampled battery characteristics. These latter may include impedance, bounce-back, peaks, areas, charge take-up, start and end voltages etc.

THIRD ASPECT

5 In accordance with a third aspect of the present invention there is provided a system in which the design approach of the first and second aspects of the invention is modified in order to achieve a substantial simplification in terms of hardware/software provision and analytical procedures, while still being based upon the same analytical principle, and thus being able to provide a comparable result in terms of battery classification into the principal classes. In simple terms this aspect of the invention provides a method and apparatus which is capable of achieving an accurate battery classification into, for example, the classes of bad/good but discharged/good classes, but with the above-mentioned 10 structural and procedural simplification, with the attendant corresponding cost advantages.

15

20 In accordance with this aspect of the invention, we have discovered that in the method and apparatus of the preceding aspect of the invention there can be achieved the above-identified simplifications by the elimination of the use of neural networks for analysis of the profiles 25 of the battery parameters for classification purposes.

25 This simplification and corresponding advance was a relatively unexpected development in the course of our research on this project, despite the background 30 experience which our earlier work involving the use of neural networks provided. However, it was precisely the knowledge of the results of test procedures involving neural networks which provided the data whereby simplified methods and apparatus could be adopted.

More specifically, knowledge of the results of test procedures with neural networks enabled us to identify the fact that a satisfactory correlation could be achieved

between battery classifications and test procedure profiles using a relatively simple algorithmic procedure. This procedure utilises previously-established data relating to microcharge and/or microload voltage profiles 5 in order to define time intervals (from a defined time such as the microload or microcharge commencement time) at which to take characteristic sample voltages which thereby become substantially as informative as analysis of the entire profile itself.

10 Accordingly, according to this third aspect of the present invention there is provided a method and apparatus as defined in the identified ones of the accompanying claims.

15 In the embodiment described below, the battery's reaction to a transient test parameter applied to it provides a measure of the condition of the battery. By analysis of the waveform shape or profile (by reference to the corresponding voltage at a characteristic point) 20 representative of the battery's reaction to the test parameter, the corresponding classification step can be effected. This analysis step is effected in the embodiment without employing a neural network by means of an algorithm adapted to identify a corresponding one of two or more battery condition classifications, and the 25 corresponding one of these classifications exhibits a correlation with (voltage aspects of) the waveform profile of the electrical value.

30 In the embodiment, voltage aspects of the waveform profile utilised for this purpose include voltage values giving a measure of impedance, voltage bounce-back, voltage peaks, voltage troughs, voltage shape or profile, charge take-up, and start and end voltages.

Also in the embodiment, the transient period of the

microload or microcharge applied to the battery lies in the range of 1 to 1,000 milliseconds, and the repetition interval between successive microloads and/or microcharges varies from 2 to 100 times the duration of application of 5 the microload or microcharge.

In this aspect of the invention, the ability to eliminate the neural network with its attendant cost despite the retention of an analysis basis (relating to test parameter profile) corresponding to that carried out 10 by the neural network, is of significant value and benefit. The achievement of this step by a relatively simple algorithmic hardware/based routine enables a valuable product simplification to be achieved.

15 Embodiments relating directly to a second aspect of the invention will now be described by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Fig 1 shows a series of testing procedures forming a complete test cycle;

20 Fig 2 shows the profiles of voltage plotted against time for a microload test sequence;

Fig 3 shows the corresponding profile for a microcharge test sequence;

Fig 4 shows a microload circuit diagram for transient load application to a battery on test;

25 Fig 5 shows the corresponding microcharge circuit diagram;

Fig 6 shows the microload circuit together with the associated data acquisition and control systems;

30 Fig 7 shows the corresponding microcharge circuit corresponding to that of Fig 6;

Fig 8 shows a block circuit diagram for a comprehensive battery tester facility including microload and microcharge functions, a corresponding microcontrol system and a feed out to a display;

35 Fig 9 shows a plot for the results of a complete

-11-

battery test cycle showing the voltage/time profile with identification of the surface charge removal and recovery period steps;

5 Fig 10 shows a battery voltage/time plot for a typical response to a complete test cycle for an "average" battery;

10 Fig 11 shows a battery terminal voltage/time plot in a microload cycle with indication of the individual microloads applied by the FET and the corresponding voltage drops, both for a good battery and for a bad battery;

15 Fig 12 shows the corresponding plots for a microcharge cycle with corresponding plots for good and bad batteries;

Fig 13 shows a voltage/time plot for overshoot on recovery from a microloading step, which provides one of the classic analysable parameters for the purpose of the present invention; and

20 Figs 14, 15, 16A and 16B show simple algorithms in text and flow diagram format for use in the third aspect of the invention to identify the relevant one of three and four battery categories without use of a neural network.

FIRST ASPECT

25 The embodiment of the invention will now be described for the purposes of technical disclosure of the present invention, and reference is directed to our own prior-published specification EP 0 762 135 A2 and we hereby incorporate the entire disclosure of that prior specification into the present application. The present embodiment is based upon the disclosure in the above EP 30 '135A2 specification with the below-indicated modification. Equally, the embodiment may be based upon the description below (in relation to the second aspect of the invention) which is based upon Figs 1 to 8 of the 35 accompanying drawings, and likewise utilising the below-

described Kohonen network.

The embodiment of this aspect of the present invention uses a Kohonen Self-Organising Map in place of the Multi-Layer Perceptron network disclosed in our prior specification.

In place of the waveform analysis performed in our prior technique, the embodiment utilises readings during transient battery charge or discharge at certain predetermined time intervals. In test routines, in place of the 50 to 500 and preferably 150 to 300 milliseconds period for transient discharge (in EP'135 A2), the present embodiment has used periods in the range of up to 50 milliseconds, and preferably 10 to 20 milliseconds in order to avoid unacceptable heat dissipation. The apparatus includes test data generation means to subject a battery to a test routine based upon such transient loads or transient charge periods.

The Kohonen self-organising map is adapted to uncover natural groupings within data presented to its network and is capable of learning to respond to different parts of the data input signal. The network consists of neurons, which are initially given randomly assigned, "weight" vectors in preparation for the training routine. The neurons can be visualised as being arranged in a two-dimensional grid. The weight vectors are provided in the same format as the input signal. A neuron compares its current weight vector with the input signal's content and the number of matches made between these two vectors is the output of the neuron.

The neuron that responds most strongly to an input signal is identified and the neighbouring neurons around this neuron are identified and the weightings of these neurons are adjusted in order to give a stronger response

-13-

to the relevant input signal. This weight adaptation process is known as the training process. We have discovered that over-training of the neurons leads to the network giving a positive response only to signals 5 identical to those which it was trained on and this effect is clearly undesirable.

A network can be trained on more than one signal at once and a network can be trained to respond in other areas of its grid to input signals that are significantly 10 different to a given one.

In the embodiments, data obtained during operation of a conventional battery tester and resulting from sampling at several predetermined intervals was, then 15 presented to a trained Kohonen self-organising network for analysis. By reference to the established data patterns, the network was able to classify the battery.

In the embodiment, the overall training data package consisted of some 550 battery tests, each comprising approximately 20 parameters. These parameters included 20 a three phase test procedure including firstly surface charge removal, secondly charge test and thirdly heavy load test, which was followed by a recovery period. Other data provided to the network included Cold Cranking Amps ratings (CCA), and calculated battery internal resistance. 25 This data block represented the basis for providing the Kohonen network with its requisite modest training data input requirements, for example a data sample of 60 battery tests chosen randomly from the above population and each test comprising 20 data samples for each battery 30 state.

It is expected that it will be possible to cause the system to be capable of distinguishing between different modes of battery failure within the above-mentioned "bad-

-14-

5 "unserviceable" battery classification. Thus, for example, a battery may be regarded as worn out after a long length of service due to parasitic and gassing irreversible reactions, or the battery may have been damaged in some way. The differing electrical characteristics of such a battery may well be capable of classification by the Kohonen network.

10 In the embodiment, the classification output of the system provides a signal which is used for controlling a battery charging system responsive to the battery state. The classification signal is fed to a neural network controlling a battery charge rate system accordingly.

SECOND ASPECT

15 The second aspect of the invention provides a method and apparatus for battery testing and/or classification in which a microcycle of one or more transient charges and/or loads are applied to a battery in sequence and data relating to the battery reaction to the microcycle is analysed by a neural network or an adaptive algorithm to 20 enable classification of the battery.

25 A further feature of the embodiment is that the analysis step is effected by the neural network or adaptive algorithm in relation to one or more of the following characteristics of the battery namely impedance, voltage bounce-back, voltage peaks, voltage troughs, voltage shape or profile, charge take-up and start and end voltages.

30 Fig 1 shows the sequence of transient test cycles (cycle 1, cycle 2) with intervening periods of battery surface charge removal and battery recovery.

 In Figs 2 and Fig 3 the voltages applied to the field-effect transistors (FETs) in Figs 4 and 5 are shown.

-15-

As shown in the Fig 2 microload sequence, the time (T_2) between successive microtests (V_1 , V_2 , V_3 etc) is greater than (or equal to) the duration (T_1) of each test. Voltage V_1 is less than V_2 is less than V_3 . Overall test time (T_0) is greater than or equal to 40 seconds. For the 5 microcharge cycle, the voltage (V_4) on the field effect transistor, is constant.

Figs 4 and 5 show the FETs in the microload and 10 microcharge circuits acting as switches for the application of the transient loads and charges under the control of a dynamic adjustment circuit seen in Fig 6.

In the circuits 10 and 12 of Figs 4 and 5 the 15 battery on the test is shown at 14 and the FETs at 16 and 18. The microcharge voltage source is shown at 20.

Turning now to the system 22 shown in Fig 6, the 20 microload circuit 10 is constructed generally as shown in Fig 4 with battery terminals 24,26 connected to the power MOSFET field effect transistor switch 16 which is controlled by a dynamics adjustment circuit 28 which responds to a microcontroller 30. Data acquisition system 32 serves to provide an interface for data acquisition and transmission to the analysis function which forms part of microcontroller 30 and is shown in more detail in Fig 8.

25 Generally, the power MOSFET applies a transient load to battery terminals 24,26 for a selected period in the range, for example of 20 to 100 microseconds. The reaction of the battery to this transient load and its recovery, are examined and analysed. Typical results are 30 shown, for example, in Fig 11.

The power MOSFET typically has a maximum size of 30 millimetres by 20 millimetres and its average current drawn is small and thus causes little damage to the

-16-

vehicle battery. The current drain represented by the MOSFET can be altered by changing the gate voltage controlled by microcontroller 30 through adjustment circuit 28. The facility to vary the current drawn 5 provides the basis for determining the internal impedance of the battery. In a similar way, other characteristics of the battery are readily derivable from the voltage profiles obtained in the method of the invention as described in the embodiments, including various aspects 10 of voltage itself including voltage bounce-back, voltage peaks and troughs, charge take-up and start and end voltages. It is a feature of this aspect of the present invention that classification may be effected by a profile analysis in relation to one or more of these battery 15 characteristics. In several instances of these, a measure of the magnitude of the relevant quantity is given by examination of one portion or dimension of the profile of the voltage trace produced during the test.

20 The use of transient microloads or microcharges in this embodiment eliminates the requirement of certain prior techniques for the use of large carbon piles, whereby the test apparatus of the embodiment can be in the format of a hand-held or otherwise mobile unit which can be battery-powered or powered from a simple domestic 25 electricity supply.

An advantage of the microloading technique which we have discovered is that it is effective to remove surface charges from the battery, which otherwise adversely affect the accuracy of testing.

30 Turning now to the microcharge circuit 34 of Fig 7, this differs somewhat from the simplified system shown in Fig 5. Battery terminals 24, 26 are coupled to a data acquisition system 36 and a microcontroller 38. A pair of power MOSFETs 40, 42 act as switches to permit the

charging and discharging of a capacitor 44, which introduces a small packet of charge into the test battery via terminals 24, 26, very quickly, to provide transient battery-charging pulses.

5

The voltage source for the microcharges is identified (as in Fig 5) at 20 and may be provided by battery charge stealing or a boost from a docking station.

10

15

Fig 12 shows examples of battery response to the microcharge cycle. The ease with which the battery accepts a charge pulse provides a measure of its condition, and likewise the battery's reaction after the pulse. Battery internal impedance and general battery condition are thus accessible by use of this technique. The method can however be combined with the microcharging method as a more effective overall means of battery testing, and a combined system is shown in Fig 8.

20

25

In Fig 8, systems and components corresponding to those described above in relation to the preceding figures are numbered accordingly and will not be re-described except as necessary. Additional systems and components shown in Fig 8 include signal-conditioning and multiplexing and analogue-to-digital circuits 46, 48 and 50 respectively, of which multiplexer 48 is coupled to a temperature thermistor 52.

A power supply unit 54 based on, for example rechargeable batteries, provides a compact source of power for the portable system 56 as a whole, of Fig 8.

30

The micro-controller identified at 30, 38 (for correlation with the micro-controllers of the previously-described circuits) incorporates a digital interface unit 58, a data storage function 60 and an algorithmic function 62 providing the stepwise analytical function for the electrical parameter inputs received by interface 58 at

-18-

64, 66, 68 and 70.

5 A further connection 72 to interface 58 provides a user input and control function from a keyboard or other control input device 74. A display device 76 provides direct indication of the recognised battery category, providing a direct indication of the relevant one selected on the basis of the waveform resulting from the analysis of the microcharge/microload sequence applied to the battery.

10 The analysis function is indicated at 62, being provided by an advanced or adaptive algorithm, or a neural network, in this embodiment.

15 By the use of a microcycle of transient charges and/or loads applied to a battery in sequence in accordance with this aspect of the invention, there is provided the advantage (with respect to our above-mentioned EP '135A specification) that the practicality and cost-effectiveness of the system, particularly for use in a hand-held application, is unexpectedly advanced to 20 an extent such that a relatively sophisticated analysis of a battery into the relevant one of a multiplicity of categories by use of an easily-operated hand-held item of equipment becomes a realistic option to an extent which has not hitherto been the case.

25 Data storage function 16 cooperates with the algorithmic/neural network function 52 in enabling a correlation of waveform and battery condition categories within the analysis sub-system 30, 38. Implementation may be effected on a compatible PC system or custom hardware 30 providing the required digital signal processing functions, for example as available from Texas Instruments as noted in the EP '135 A2 specification mentioned above. Information mentioned on algorithms for the purpose is

available in the publication "neural networks in C++" by Adam Blum, published by John Wiley & Sons. Simplified algorithms as used in the third aspect of the invention are shown in Figs 14, 15, 16a and 16b in text and flow diagram format.

Fig 9 illustrates results obtainable with the system of Fig 8 for a complete battery test cycle.

Fig 9 is a voltage/time plot illustrating a cycle of three transient microloads 78,80,82 separated by two recovery periods 84,86, followed by a transient microcharge 88.

The voltage slope between the transient test steps indicate changes including battery surface charge removal at 84 between the first two microloads. The time interval between the test steps is indicated on a linear scale by the location of the relevant traces on that scale, the microcharge step 88 following closely on the third microload.

Fig 10 shows, in a voltage/time plot, the actual results obtained for a battery in "average" condition. The four microload/charge steps are indicated by the same reference numerals as in Fig 9. The profiles are characteristic of the battery classification, and taken in combination with the actual values of the pre-and post-test voltages detected provide a characteristic means for identifying the specific classification which is applicable.

Turning now to the graphic data shown in Figs 11 and 12, these show the voltage/time plots for not only the FET gate or control voltages, but also the battery responses for good and bad batteries. Fig 11 shows the microload result and Fig 12 shows the microcharge result.

Fig 11 shows the effect of 5 microload cycles, these being accomplished within somewhat under 0.45 seconds (for all 5) with intermediate recovery periods of approximately three quarters of 0.1 seconds ie 0.075 seconds. Each 5 individual load transient has a duration of approximately one quarter of 0.1 seconds ie 0.025 seconds.

The gate voltages for the tests show an increase from about 4 volts to about 6 volts from tests A to C and then remain constant. The corresponding five voltage drops for the plot 90 of a bad battery show characteristic significant drops at A and B with corresponding relatively steady-state profiles at C, D and E of which the amplitude and saw tooth profiles are characteristic. 10

In the plot 92 of a corresponding good battery the 15 substantially reduced voltage dips and the correspondingly modified profiles of the dip and intermediate voltages provide the clear basis for a corresponding classification accordingly.

In Fig 12 which corresponds to Fig 11 but shows a 20 sequence of three microcharge cycles or steps labelled F, G and H, the indications of the microcharge parameters in terms of the FET gate voltages and transient time intervals correspond very much to those of Fig 11 and therefore require no further comment.

25 The battery responses in Fig 12 for bad battery 90 and good battery 92 are readily distinguished in terms of the markedly enhanced resultant battery voltage step-up in the case of bad battery 90, as against the corresponding modest or almost negligible voltage shift 30 for good battery 92.

Fig 13 shows, on a much shorter time scale, the voltage/time plot during the short recovery period

following a microload step.

It can be seen that the overshoot profile 94 has a well-defined saw-tooth rising profile on its leading edge 96. This is followed by a relatively uncharacterised and 5 more steeply graded trailing edge 98 from peak 100, followed by an oscillating profile at 102 before the voltage settles down to the level 104 corresponding to the recovery from the microload level 106. It will be understood that such a profile provides a basis for clear 10 battery classification separation caused by profile changes in the several identified areas resulting from battery state differences of the kind which are apparent from Figs 11 and 12.

THIRD ASPECT

15 This embodiment represents a simplification of the embodiment described above (second aspect) in which the neural network/advanced algorithm 62 of the data-analysing microcontroller 30,38 is replaced by a relatively simple algorithm which is adapted to identify a particular one 20 of two or more battery conditions classifications exhibiting a correlation with the waveform profile resulting from the microcharge or microload steps discussed above in relation to the preceding embodiments. For this purpose, this embodiment examines voltage levels 25 at characteristic (previously determined) points on the microload or microcharge voltage profile by reference to the time interval from commencement of the test.

30 Illustrative algorithms for the purpose of identifying the relevant one of three or four battery categories are set out in Figs 14, 15, 16A and 16B and represent relatively undeveloped stages in the algorithms' technical development in relating detected voltage parameters to battery condition categories.

-22-

Specifically, on the basis of the data storage function 60 comprising the results of tests on batteries in the relevant limited number of categories or classifications applicable to this simplified apparatus, 5 we have found that a reliable level of acceptably accurate classification can be achieved without the sophistication and attendant cost inherent in the use of neural networks, nor even is it necessary to employ the advance/adaptive algorithms designated for the embodiment of Fig 8. 10 Operation of this simple embodiment proceeds generally in accordance with matters described above, but with the simplification represented by use of a reduced number of battery categories such as simply "good / good discharged / bad."

CLAIMS

THIRD ASPECT

1. A method for determining the condition/classification of automotive and other batteries in accordance with one or more sensed parameters thereof comprising the steps of:

- a) applying a transient electrical test parameter to the terminals of a battery to be tested;
- b) utilising a characteristic of the battery's reaction to said test parameter as a basis for said determination;
- c) said method further comprising the step of utilising the battery's reaction to said transient test parameter to provide a measure of the condition of said battery by analysis of the waveform shape or profile of an electrical value representative of the battery's reaction to said test parameter;
characterised by
- d) the step of analysis of the waveform profile of said electrical value of the battery's reaction to said test parameter being effected without employing a neural network but by means of an algorithm adapted to identify a corresponding one of two or more battery condition classifications, and said corresponding one classification exhibiting a correlation with time-defined voltage aspects of said waveform profile of said electrical value.

2. A method for determining the condition/classification of automotive and other batteries in accordance with one or more sensed parameters thereof, comprising the steps of analysing the waveform shape or profile of an electrical value of a battery's reaction to a test parameter without employing a neural network and by means of an algorithm adapted to identify a

corresponding one or two or more battery condition classifications, and said corresponding one classification exhibiting a correlation with voltage aspects of the waveform profile of said electrical value, or a portion 5 or dimension thereof.

3. A method according to claim 1 or claim 2 characterised by said electrical value representative of said battery's reaction to said transient test parameter comprising one or more of the following characteristics, 10 namely impedance, voltage bounce-back, voltage peaks, voltage troughs, voltage shape or profile, charge take-up, and start and end voltages.

4. A method according to anyone of the preceding 15 claims characterised by said analysis step being adapted to relate the value of said electrical value to two or more ranges of such values which are representative of two or more corresponding condition classifications of such battery.

5. A method according to anyone of the preceding 20 claims characterised by said transient test parameter being applied for one to one hundred milliseconds.

6. A method according to anyone of the preceding 25 claims characterised by said transient test parameter being repeated at intervals of from 2 to 100 times the duration of said test parameter itself.

7. Apparatus for determining the condition/classification of automotive and other batteries in accordance with one or more sensed parameters thereof comprising:

- 30 a) means for applying a transient electrical test parameter to the terminals of a battery to be tested;
- b) analysis means adapted to utilise a

characteristic of the battery's reaction to said test parameter as a basis for said determination;

5 c) said analysis means being adapted to utilise the battery's reaction to said transient test parameter to provide a measure of the condition of said battery by analysis of the waveform shape or profile of an electrical value representative of the battery's reaction to said test parameter;

characterised by;

10 d) said analysis means not comprising a neural network and being adapted to effect said analysis by means of an algorithm adapted to identify a corresponding one of two or more battery condition classifications, of which said one classification exhibits a correlation with time-defined voltage aspects of the waveform profile of, said 15 electrical value.

20 8. Apparatus for examining the condition/classification of an automotive or other battery characterised by analysis means adapted to analyse the waveform profile of an electrical profile of a battery's reaction to a test parameter, said analysis means not comprising a neural network and employing an algorithm to identify a battery condition classification exhibiting a correlation with voltage aspects of a waveform profile 25 detected.

30 9. Apparatus according to claim 7 or claim 8 characterised by said analysis means being adapted to effect said analysis by reference to one or more of the following battery characteristics namely impedance, voltage bounce-back, voltage peaks, voltage troughs, voltage shape or profile, charge take-up and start and end voltages.

10. Apparatus according to anyone of claims 7 to 9 characterised by said analysis means being adapted to

relate the value of said electrical value to two or more ranges of said values which are representative of a corresponding two or more battery condition classifications.

5 11. Apparatus according to anyone of claim 7 to 10 characterised by said apparatus being adapted to apply said transient test parameter for from 1 to 100 milliseconds.

10 12. Apparatus according to anyone of claims 7 to 11 characterised by said apparatus being adapted to repeat said transient test parameter at intervals from 2 to 100 times the duration of said test parameter.

FIRST ASPECT

15 13. A method of making battery test apparatus for classification of automotive and other batteries in accordance with one or more sensed parameters thereof, the method comprising:

20 a) providing data input coupling means adapted to couple a battery to data classification means;

b) providing said data classification means to be coupled by said coupling means to said battery so that said battery provides a data source permitting classification of said battery's state;

25 c) said classification means being provided comprising a neural network and said neural network being adapted to carry out a classification step on said data accordingly;

characterised by;

30 d) providing said neural network in the form of a self-organising software network, such as a Kohonen self-organising network;

e) said method further comprising causing said

5 self-organising software network to undergo (or be based upon software which has undergone) a controlled degree of training thereof based on an input comprising a representative sample of battery data, said representative sample of battery data comprising a plurality of battery parameters and selected from the group including voltage, current, internal resistance, surface charge/capacitance and thermal parameters; and

10 f) said method further comprising providing said battery test apparatus with test data generation means adapted to subject a given battery on test to test routines to generate test data for said classification means through said coupling means, which test data is related to said representative sample of battery data on which said software network was trained, whereby said test data may be readily classified by said data classification means.

14. A method of making battery test apparatus for classification of automotive and other batteries and other electrical systems in accordance with one or more sensed parameters thereof, the method comprising classification means comprising a self-organising neural network and causing same to undergo (or be based upon software which has undergone) a controlled degree of training thereof on representative data, said representative data comprising a plurality of battery parameters, and said method further comprising providing test data generation means adapted to generate related battery data from a battery on test.

15. A method according to claim 13 of claim 14 characterised by employing as said neural network a Kohonen self-organising software network.

16. A method according to any one of claims 13 to 15 characterised by said representative sample of battery data comprising data obtained from tests in which a

-26-

transient load or a transient charge is applied to a battery.

17. A method according to claim 16 characterised by one or more transient loads or transient charges being applied to said battery in sequence, said sequence comprising one or more loading or charging cycles at transient intervals, said loading or charging cycles and said intervals being up to 100 milliseconds in duration.

5 18. A method according to any one of the preceding claims 13 to 17 characterised by employing said self-organising network to identify groupings of data within said data from said battery.

10 19. A method according to any one of the preceding claims 13 to 18 characterised by causing said neural network to effect classification of said battery as good, or good discharged, or bad unserviceable.

15 20. A method according to any one of the preceding claims 13 to 19 characterised by the further step of using said generated classification as a basis for controlling a battery charging system for said battery.

20 21. A method according to claim 20 characterised by the step of controlling said battery charging system by means comprising a neural network.

25 22. Apparatus for classification of automotive and other batteries in accordance with one or more sensed electrical parameters thereof, said apparatus comprising;

30 a) data input coupling means adapted to couple a battery to data classification means;

b) data classification means adapted to be coupled by said coupling means to a battery so that said battery provides a data source permitting classification

of said battery's state;

c) said classification means comprising a neural network adapted to carry out a classification step on said battery data accordingly.

5 characterised by;

d) said neural network comprising a self-organising software network, such as a Kohonen self-organising network;

10 e) said self-organising network having undergone (or being based upon software which has undergone) a controlled degree of training based on data input comprising a representative sample of battery data, said representative sample of battery data comprising a plurality of battery parameters selected from the group including voltage, current, internal resistance, surface 15 charge/capacitance and thermal parameters, said data providing a basis for the classification step required from said self-organising network; and

20 f) said apparatus further comprising test data generation means adapted to subject a given battery on test to test routines to generate test data for said classification means through said coupling means, which test data is related to said representative sample of battery data on which said software network was trained, 25 whereby said test data may be readily classified by said data classification means.

23. Apparatus for classification of automotive and other batteries and other electrical systems in accordance with one or more sensed parameters thereof, the apparatus comprising classification means comprising a self-organising neural network adapted to effect a controlled degree of training thereof on the basis of sample battery data comprising a plurality of battery parameters or other data, and said apparatus further comprising test data generation means to subject a test battery to routines 30 generating data related to the training data for said 35

-30-

self-organising network.

24. Apparatus according to claim 22 of claim 23 characterised by said neural network comprising a Kohonen self-organising network.

5 25. Apparatus according any one of claims 22 to 24 characterised by said representative data sample of battery data comprising data obtained from tests in which a transient load or a transient charge is applied to a battery.

10 26. Apparatus according to claim 25 characterised by one or more transient loads or transient charges having been applied in a sequence comprising one or more loading or charging cycles at transient intervals, said loading or charging cycles and intervals being up to 100 15 milliseconds in duration.

20 27. Apparatus according to any one of claims 22 to 26 characterised by said self-organising network being adapted to identify groupings of data within said data from said battery.

25 28. Apparatus according to any one of claims 22 to 27 characterised by said classifying means being adapted to classify said battery as good, or good but discharged or bad and unserviceable.

30 29. Apparatus according to any one of claims 22 to 28 characterised by a battery charging system for said battery and control means for said battery charging system adapted to effect a degree of control in accordance with the battery classification generated.

30. Apparatus according to claim 29 characterised

-31-

by said control means of said battery charging system comprising a neural network.

31. A method for classification of automotive and other batteries in accordance with one or more sensed parameters thereof, the method comprising providing apparatus according to claim 10 or 11 characterised by the step of causing said test data generation means to subject said battery to test routines generating test data for said classification means through said coupling means, which test data is related to said representative sample of battery data on which said software network was trained, and the method comprising causing said classification means to classify said test data.

SECOND ASPECT

15 32. A method for determining the condition/classification of automotive and other batteries in accordance with one or more sensed parameters thereof comprising the steps of:

20 a) applying a transient electrical test parameter to the terminals of a battery to be tested;
b) utilising a characteristic of the battery's reaction to said test parameter as a basis for said determination;

25 c) said method further comprising the step of utilising the battery's reaction to said transient test parameter to provide a measure of the condition of said battery by analysis of the waveform shape or profile of an electrical value representative of the battery's reaction to said test parameter;

30 characterised by
d) applying at least one further transient electrical test parameter to said battery to be tested;
e) said one and said further transient electrical test parameter forming a microcycle of two or more

-32-

transient charges and/or loads applied to said battery in sequence;

5 f) analysing data relating to the battery's reaction to said microcycle by a neural network and/or an algorithm to enable classification of said battery.

10 33. A method according to claim 32 characterised by said analysis step being effected in relation to one or more of the following characteristics of the battery namely impedance, voltage bounce-back, voltage peaks, voltage troughs, voltage shape or profile, charge take-up, start and end voltages.

15 34. Apparatus for determining the condition/classification of automotive and other batteries in accordance with one or more sensed parameters thereof comprising:

20 a) means for applying a transient electrical test parameter to the terminals of a battery to be tested;
b) analysis means adapted to utilise a characteristic of the battery's reaction to said test parameter as a basis for said determination;
25 c) said analysis means further being adapted to utilise the battery's reaction to said transient test parameter to provide a measure of the condition of said battery by analysis of the waveform shape or profile of an electrical value representative of the battery's reaction to said test parameter;

30 characterised by
d) means for applying at least one further transient electrical test parameter to said battery to be tested;
e) said one and said further transient electrical test parameters forming a microcycle of two or more transient charges and/or loads applied to said battery in

-33-

sequence; and

5 f) said analysis means being also adapted to analyse data relating to the battery's reaction to said microcycle by a neural network and/or an algorithm to enable classification of said battery.

10 35. Apparatus according to claim 34 characterised by said analysis means being adapted to effect said analysis steps in relation to one or more of the following characteristics of the battery namely impedance, voltage bounce-back, voltage peaks, voltage troughs, voltage shape or profile, charge take-up and start and end voltages.

-1/14-




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

FIG. 3

-2/14-




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

-3/14-




FIG. 6

-4/14-





FIG. 7

- 5/14 -



- 6 / 14 -




FIG. 9

-7/14-





FIG. 10


-8/14-

FIG. 11




-9/14-

FIG. 12



-10/14-

FIG. 13



-11/14-

Worded Algorithm for Tester Including Hardware Considerations

Check tester power supply:-

if tester power supply < 8.3V
 then flash 'on' LED
 and end
if tester power supply > 8.3V
 then test

Check battery terminal voltage:-

light 'busy' LED
if battery terminal voltage > 12.4V
 then conduct microload test
 if drop under microload is between 0 and 2V
 then light 'good' LED
 if drop under microload is between 2 and 6V
 then light 'charge and retest' LED
 if drop under microload is > 6V
 then light 'bad' LED
if battery terminal voltage is between 11.8 and 12.4V
 then conduct microload test
 if drop under microload is between 0 and 2V
 then light 'good' LED
 if drop under microload is between 2 and 9V
 then light 'charge and retest' LED
 if drop under microload is > 9V
 then light 'bad' LED
if battery terminal voltage is between 10.5 and 11.8V
 then conduct microload test
 if drop under microload is between 0 and 2V
 then light 'charge and retest' LED
 if drop under microload is > 2V
 then light 'bad' LED
if battery terminal voltage is between 9.0 and 10.5V
 then conduct microload test
 if drop under microload is between 0 and 2.5V
 then light 'charge and retest' LED
 if drop under microload is > 2.5V
 then light 'bad' LED
if battery terminal voltage is between 0.1 and 9.0V
 then light 'bad' LED
 and end
if battery terminal voltage is < 0.1V
 then flash 'busy' LED
 and end
terminate 'busy' LED
and end

FIG. 14

-12 / 14 -




FIG. 15

-13/14-




FIG. 16A

-14/14-




FIG. 16B

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. Application No
PCT/GB 98/01634

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 G01R31/36 H02J3/06

According to International Patent Classification(IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 G01R H02J

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 772 056 A (PHILIPS ELECTRONIQUE LAB ;PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NV (NL)) 7 May 1997 see claims 1,3 ----	1-4,7,8, 13,14, 22,23, 31,32,34
X	US 5 596 260 A (MORAVEC HANS P ET AL) 21 January 1997 see claims 1,9 ----	1-4,7
A	EP 0 637 754 A (SEIKO EPSON CORP) 8 February 1995 see claims 1,2 -----	1,7



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

5 October 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

09/10/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Six, G

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/01634

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 0772056	A 07-05-1997	FR 2740555	A 30-04-1997	JP 9215207 A 15-08-1997
US 5596260	A 21-01-1997	AU 2510495	A 05-12-1995	WO 9531734 A 23-11-1995
EP 0637754	A 08-02-1995	WO 9417425	A 04-08-1994	